

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/546,287	04/10/2000	Matthias Graf	017399/0188	2519
22428 75	90 02/24/2004		EXAM	INER
FOLEY AND LARDNER			HAWKINS, CHERYL N	
SUITE 500				
3000 K STREET NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20007			1734	
			DATE MAIL ED: 02/24/200	ı .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ė,		AS			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/546,287	GRAF ET AL.			
•	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Cheryl N Hawkins	1734			
The MAILING DATE of this commu	nication appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Not Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR	y be either: (1) a timely filed amendme otice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3)	application. A proper reply to a nt which places the application in			
<u>PER</u>	IOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b))]			
a) \square The period for reply expires 6 months from the					
event, however, will the statutory period for re	g date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set for ply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing ST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS	g date of the final rejection. OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP			
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office la earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	e period of extension and the corresponding amous of the shortened statutory period for reply original	nt of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under y set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>02 January 2004</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims w	ithout canceling a corresponding numb	per of finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): See Continuation Sheet.					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>1-3,12,21 and 22</u> would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)□ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will b	e) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed: <u>1-3,12,21 and 22</u> .					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 7-11					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration	on:				
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:					
	Lumpun	Chenge n. Haushi 2/17/04			
	RICHARD CRISPINO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700	Cheryl N. Hawkins February 17, 2004			

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 009/546,287

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment to Claim 7 would require an additional search because the Examiner has not previously considered a method for producing extra-wide joined veneers wherein the width in the direction across the grain of an oncoming first veneer is different than the width in the direction across the grain of an oncoming second veneer.

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the rejection of Claim 21 under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Schulte and the rejection of Claims 1-3, 12, and 21 under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schulte in view of Bielfeldt et al. The applicant's arguments pertaining to those claims are persuasive, therefore those claims are allowed.